



Signed and Filed: December 2, 2019

DENNIS MONTALI
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
Stephen Karotkin (*pro hac vice*)
(stephen.karotkin@weil.com)
Ray C. Schrock, P.C. (*pro hac vice*)
(ray.schrock@weil.com)
Jessica Liou (*pro hac vice*)
(jessica.liou@weil.com)
Theodore Tsekerides (*pro hac vice*)
(theodore.tsekerides@weil.com)
Matthew Goren (*pro hac vice*)
(matthew.goren@weil.com)
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153-0119
Tel: 212 310 8000
Fax: 212 310 8007

KELLER & BENVENUTTI LLP
Tobias S. Keller (#151445)
(tkeller@kellerbenvenutti.com)
Peter J. Benvenutti (#60566)
(pbenvenutti@kellerbenvenutti.com)
Jane Kim (#298192)
(jkim@kellerbenvenutti.com)
650 California Street, Suite 1900
San Francisco, CA 94108
Tel: 415 496 6723
Fax: 650 636 9251

Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION,

- and -

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY,**

Debtors.

- ☐ Affects PG&E Corporation
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company
☒ Affects both Debtors

** All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,
No. 19-30088 (DM).*

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11

(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)

**ORDER DENYING CLASS
REPRESENTATIVE'S MOTION TO EXTEND
APPLICATION OF FEDERAL RULE OF
CIVIL PROCEDURE 23 TO CLASS PROOFS
OF CLAIM**

Relates to Dkt. No. 4370

1 On consideration of the *Class Representative's Motion to Extend Application of Federal Rule*
2 *of Civil Procedure 23 to Class Proofs of Claim* [Docket No. 4370] (together with its supporting
3 papers, the “**Motion**”)¹ filed by David Alonzo and the *Objection to Class Representative's Motion to*
4 *Extend Application of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 to Class Proofs of Claim* [Docket No.
5 4684] (together with its supporting papers, the “**Objection**”) filed by PG&E Corporation and Pacific
6 Gas and Electric Company, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “**Debtors**”); the
7 Court finding and concluding that:

8 1. The Debtors' Notice Procedures were robust and carefully calculated to reach all
9 potential claimants;

10 2. No non-bankruptcy forum certified the proposed class before the Petition Date;

11 3. The Motion was filed well after the service of the Bar Date Notice;

12 4. A post-petition claimant cannot represent a pre-petition class even if the relevant
13 bankruptcy estates are solvent; and

14 5. The traditional procedures for filing of claims and objections thereto are adequate to
15 handle any alleged wrongdoing by the Debtors;

16 And Mr. Alonzo having accepted the Court's tentative ruling of November 14, 2019; and good cause
17 appearing,

18 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

19 1. The Motion is denied.

20 2. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any disputes or controversies arising
21 from this Order.

22
23 ///

24
25 ///

26
27 _____
28 ¹ Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

1
2 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

3 DATED: November 27, 2019

4 OSTERGAR LAW GROUP P.C.

5 /s/ John E. Lattin

6 John E. Lattin

7 *Attorneys for Creditor David Alonzo*

8 ** END OF ORDER **
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28